TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

1347

Sara Kyle, Chairman Lynn Greer, Director Melvin Malone, Director

460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

January 5, 2001

RECEIVED

JAN - 8 2001

FCC MAIL ROOM

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 4425 12th Street S.W. Room TW-A325 Washington, DC 20554

RE: Second Request of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("TRA") for additional authority to implement interim number pooling in the 615 NPA (CC Docket 99-200).

Dear Ms. Salas:

On July 20, 2000, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") issued its Delegated Authority Order ("Order") approving the TRA's November 16, 1999, petition for delegated authority to implement telephone number conservation measures. One such conservation measure in the TRA's petition was for authority to implement mandatory thousand-block number pooling ("Pooling"). The July 20, 2000, FCC Order granted, among other things, the TRA authority to implement interim pooling in the 901 NPA.

Shortly after the date the FCC Delegated Authority Order was issued, the 615 NPA was declared to be in a jeopardy situation and required the TRA's immediate action. As required in the FCC's March 31, 2000 NPRM, the TRA filed with the FCC, on August 10, 2000, the necessary information to obtain authority to implement Pooling in the 615 NPA (Attachment A).

Based upon our belief that the FCC would approve our petition, the Authority began the necessary work to implement interim pooling in the 901 and 615 NPAs. The Authority issued its Pooling Order (Attachment B) and has selected an interim pooling administrator which has conducted the first planning meeting

CC Docket 99-200, DA 00-1616 (Released July 20, 2000)

CC Docket 99-200, DA 00-1616 (Released July 20, 2000)

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas January 5, 2001 Page 2

with affected carriers. A timeline for implementing Pooling has been established by industry consensus and the Pooling Administrator and carriers have moved forward to the Block Donation Identification and Forecast phases. The Authority's Pooling Order sets a March 1, 2001 implementation date for Pooling in the 615 NPA.

As you can see, the Authority has acted with diligent expedience to address the numbering crisis in the 615 NPA. Under the present jeopardy situation in the 615 NPA, competing carriers may not obtain the needed numbering resources when they desire them to compete in the telecommunications marketplace. Pooling will resolve the present situation in the 615 NPA quicker than any other action available. Consistent with our previous delegated authority from the FCC to implement number conservation measures, we request expedited treatment of our August 10, 2000 request for authority to implement interim Pooling in the 615 NPA.

Thank you for your favorable consideration and timely approval of the TRA's August 10, 2000 request. Please contact Mr. Eddie Roberson at 615-741-3939, ext. 158 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

K. David Waddell Executive Secretary

Tennessee Regulatory Authority

Enclosures

cc: Chairman Sara Kyle

Director Lynn Greer Director Melvin Malone

Dorothy Attwood, FCC Bureau Chief Yog Varmer, FCC Deputy Bureau Chief L Charles Keller, FCC Division Chief

MODE



TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

Sara Kyle, Chairman Lynn Greer, Director Melvin Malone, Director



460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

August 10, 2000

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 4425 12th St. S.W. Room TW-A325 Washington, DC 20554

Re: Supplemental Information to the Matter of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority Petition For Additional Delegated Authority to Implement Numbering Conservation Measures (CC Docket 99-200)

Dear Ms. Salas:

On November 16, 1999, the Tennessee Regulatory Authority petitioned the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") for additional delegated authority to implement numbering conservation measures. The measures for which delegated authority was requested included:

- 1. Enforcement of current numbering allocation standards and establishment and enforcement of new standards.
- 2. Setting fill rates and requiring utilization surveys.
- 3. Reclamation of unused and reserved NXX codes and portions of those codes.
- 4. Order number utilization and forecasting reporting, and audit such reporting.
- 5. Implementing mandatory thousands-block number pooling.

On March 31, 2000, the FCC released its much anticipated Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making (FCC 00-104 CC 99-200) (Hereafter referred to as the "Order") on Numbering Resource Optimization. Among the actions taken by the FCC in its Order was the additional requirement that States with pending petitions for delegated authority demonstrate "…1) that an NPA in its state is in jeopardy, 2) the NPA in question has a remaining life span of at least a year, and 3) that the NPA is in one

¹ CC Docket 99-200

Ms Magalie Roman Salas August 10, 2000 Page 2

of the largest 100 MSAs, or alternatively, the majority of the wireline carriers in the NPA are Local Number Portability ("LNP") capable."²

On April 24, 2000, the Tennessee Regulatory Authority filed the required supplemental information to its Petition for Delegated Authority demonstrating that Tennessee met the three conditions required by the Order³ with NPA 901. On July 20, 2000, the FCC Common Carrier Bureau released the Order granting Tennessee the delegated authority to implement thousand-block number pooling in the NPA 901.

By this letter the Tennessee Regulatory Authority is filing additional supplemental information to its Petition for Delegated Authority, as required by the Order, for the NPA 615 to demonstrate that this Tennessee NPA also meets the three required conditions. The demonstrations required by the Order are listed below:

1. Tennessee must demonstrate that an NPA within the state is in jeopardy.

On July 14, 2000, NeuStar (the North American Numbering Plan Administrator ("NANPA")) informed the industry and the Tennessee Regulatory Authority that NPA 615 was in jeopardy (Exhibit A), and implemented interim jeopardy procedures on July 16, 2000. It was determined on August 10, 2000, that final jeopardy procedures would be implemented on September 1, 2000.

2. Tennessee must demonstrate that the NPA in question has a remaining life span of at least a year.

As reported by NANPA in is 2000 Central Office Code Utilization Survey ("COCUS") and NPA Exhaust Analysis, May 23, 2000 Update (Exhibit B), Tennessee's NPA 615 has a projected exhaust date of the second quarter of 2002 (2002 2Q). When final jeopardy procedures are put into effect on September 1, 2000, NPA 615 will have a new projected exhaust for the first quarter 2002 (2002 1Q).

3. Tennessee must demonstrate that the NPA is in one of the largest 100 MSAs, or alternatively, the majority of wire line carriers in the NPA are LNP capable.

2

² FCC 00-104, ¶ 170

[`] ibid

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas August 10, 2000 Page 3

The Nashville calling area is within the NPA 615. As listed in FCC 96-286, CC Docket No. 95-116, July 2, 1996, Appendix D, Nashville, Tennessee is listed as number 51 of the top 100 Metropolitan Statistical Areas ("MSAs") (Exhibit C).

The above information demonstrates that Tennessee's NPA 615 meets the three requirements as set forth by the Federal Communications Commission in its Report and Order and Notice of Further Rule Making for Numbering Resource Optimization (Docket FCC 00-104 CC 99-200).

The Tennessee Regulatory Authority therefore respectfully requests expeditious consideration and approval for the addition of Tennessee's NPA 615 to its FCC's July 20, 2000, approved Petition for Delegated Authority and be authorized to implement number conservation measures, specifically thousand-block pooling, in that NPA.

Thank you for your assistance and considerations in this matter.

Sincerely,

K. David Waddell, Executive Secretary Tennessee Regulatory Authority

Enclosures

Cc: Chairman Sara Kyle

Director Lynn Greer Director Melvin Malone

Director Melvin Malone

Janice M. Myles, FCC Common Carrier Bureau

EXHIBIT A



1120 Vermont Avenue NW, Ste 550 Washington, D.C. 20005

July 14, 2000

TO:

Tennessee 615 and 901NPAs Code Holders and Other Industry Members

RE:

Declaration of Jeopardy Condition in the Tennessee 615 Area Code and Status of 901 NPA

Jeopardy

We have been advised by the NeuStar, Inc. North American Numbering Plan Administration (NANPA) Central Office Code Administrator for Tennessee that the demand for CO codes in the 615 Numbering Plan Area (NPA) in Tennessee has increased significantly beyond the normal forecast. As a result, the 615 NPA is in jeopardy of exhausting prior to when relief will be provided. According to the Central Office Code (NXX) Assignment Guidelines, a "jeopardy NPA condition exists when the forecasted and/or actual demand for NXX resources will exceed the known supply during planning/implementation interval for relief."

Therefore, the code administrator has declared the 615 NPA to be in jeopardy and has invoked the attached interim Jeopardy Procedures for the Tennessee 615 NPA. These procedures ration the assignment of central office codes (NXXs). This rationing process will remain in effect until one of the following occurs:

- Industry stakeholders reach consensus on Final Jeopardy Procedures; or,
- All available NXXs in this NPA area assigned; or,
- Mandatory dialing for the relief NPA goes into effect.

On August 10, 2000, NANPA will facilitate an industry conference call to discuss and develop jeopardy code assignment procedures for the 615 NPA. We will discuss the 901 NPA jeopardy status at the beginning of the conference call. We will follow the consensus process, developed in an open industry forum, which is supported by the Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions (ATIS).

Accordingly, you are invited and encouraged to participate in a conference call on August 10, 2000, at 10 AM Central to develop the needed procedures. The conference bridge number is, 847-413-2931 access code 5491748# (30 ports).

Also attached is a proposal for discussion and modification set of jeopardy procedures for your consideration and study prior to the conference call.

Questions concerning this matter may be directed to Cheryl Dixon, NANPA Senior CO Code Administrator for Central Region, at 925-363-8739, or to Linda Hymans at 512-996-8757.

Sandy Tokarek Regional Director - CO Code Administration NeuStar, Inc. - NANPA

Attachments

NPA 615 Tennessee Interim Jeopardy Procedures

NANPA CO Code Administration has declared this NPA to be in a jeopardy situation. Therefore, Interim Jeopardy Procedures have been invoked and codes will be rationed as described below.

These procedures will remain in effect until the local NPA relief industry team industry reaches consensus on implementation of NPA-specific Extraordinary Code Conservation Measures. (The "final" procedures will be developed via conference call or meeting to be scheduled.)

	Table A Key Dates and Requirements								
1.	Implementation of Interim Jeopardy Procedures:	Interim allocation begins 07/14/00 5:00 PM PST							
2.	Minimum quantity of codes available for assignment ("base allocation"):	3 NXXs assigned per month (See Note 1)							
3.	Maximum requests that may be submitted per month ("monthly submissions"):	3 requests allowed per OCN/Entity (See Note 2 & 3)							
4.	Last day and time code requests will be accepted ("submission deadline"):	Part 1 received no later than 14th business day, 4:00 p.m., Pacific (day and time established by NANPA***)							
5.	First day and time code requests will be accepted ("submission start date"):	Part 1 received no earlier than 4th business day, 8:00 a.m., Pacific (2 weeks prior to submission deadline**)							
6.	Part 1 code requests to be faxed to NANPA CO Code Administrator:	Dora Wirth Fax #: 925-363-8761 Phone: 925-363-8745							
7.	Requirements for participating in monthly allocation process:	Each request must meet all "Eligibility Requirements (See Note 4)							
8.	When and how applicants will be notified of the disposition of their code request(s):	Part 3 issued on or before the end of the 10 th business day (Pacific Time) after the submission deadline							
9.	Process that will be used to allocate available codes:	Monthly allocation process is identified on Table B & Table C.							
10.	Code effective date for requests receiving an NXX assignment:	Effective date no less than 52 calendar days after NXX assigned (See Note 5)							

^{*** &}quot;Submission Deadline" is the date on which the industry-standard, 66-day processing interval begins
** "Submission Start Date" sets the "submission interval" (period during which requests will be accepted)

NPA 615 Tennessee Interim Jeopardy Procedures

Table A Notes:

- 1) Any part of a base allocation that is not assigned in an allocation month will carryover for assignment in the following month.
- 2) NXX codes will not be reserved; code reservation requests will be denied.
- 3) Requests are to be specified as 1st, 2nd up to 3rd-choice; additional requests will be denied.
- 4) A code request must meet all Eligibility Requirements by the monthly "submission deadline" in order to be eligible to participate in that month's code allocation process.
 - a) The Part 1 code request data must be complete and accurate.
 - b) The specified OCN (Operating Company Number) must be validly assigned to the applicant.
 - c) The code applicant must be certified to provide service in the requested NPA/rate center.
 - d) The requested effective date may be no more than 6 months after the "submission deadline."
 - e) Requests for a "growth" code must include the Months to Exhaust ("MTE") Worksheet.
- 5) The Part 3 code effective date will reflect no less than 52 calendar days from the date on which the lottery is held (7 calendar days for AOCN processing and 45 calendar days for industry notification). 14 calendar days are for the CO Code processing time, which comprises the 66 days.

Table B Code Allocation Process									
When the total number of Eligible Requests received by the submission deadline is	Then available codes will be allocated each month in the following manner	And the effect upon the subsequent month(s) allocation will be							
Equal To the total number of codes available for assignment that month	Each request receives an NXX assignment	No effect; each available code will be assigned							
Less Than the total number of codes available for assignment that month	Each request receives an NXX assignment	Remaining quantity of codes will carryover to the following month							

Note: See Table C if the total number of eligible requests is Greater Than available codes

NPA 615 Tennessee Interim Jeopardy Procedures

Table C Code Allocation Process									
	ele Requests are in the number codes	The following restrictions will apply	And the effect on the following month will be						
	Equal To codes available for assignment that month	2 nd - and 3 rd -choice code requests will be denied	Each OCN receives one NXX assigned to their 1 st -choice request	No effect; each available code will be assigned					
If the total number of OCN's submitting eligible	Less Than codes available for assignment that month	3 rd -choice code requests will be denied	 a) Each OCN receives at least one NXX assigned to their 1st-choice request b) Lottery will be used to determine which OCN(s) receive the remaining code(s) 	Any unassigned code quantity will carryover to the following month					
requests is	Greater Than codes available for assignment that month	2 nd - and 3 rd -choice code requests will be denied	a) Lottery will be used to determine which 1st-choice requests receive a code assignment b) Some OCNs will receive an NXX; others will not	Priority Numbers will NOT be assigned. OCNs that do not receive an NXX code will have to submit a new request the following month.					

These Interim Procedures do not address the full range of NPA jeopardy code management issues; they are intended to be used on a short-term basis only.

The "final" jeopardy procedures (Extraordinary Code Conservation Measures) developed by consensus of the local NPA relief industry team will have to include additional topics. This will ensure that all industry members – existing code holders as well as new market entrants – understand the rules and requirements that will apply until a new "relief" NPA is implemented.

Introduction

This document describes Extraordinary Code Conservation Measures for managing central office codes (NXXs) for the duration of the jeopardy condition in this NPA. The purpose of this document is to ensure that all pertinent information is readily available to current and potential code holders. These procedures attempt to provide...

- fair and equitable treatment for all segments of the telecommunications industry
- the most effective means of managing the limited number of unassigned NXXs
- a selection of NPA-specific options for local industry consideration

Questions

Questions regarding the content of this document may be directed to either the NANPA CO Code Administrator Dora Wirth or the NANPA NPA Relief Planner Linda Hymans. (Refer to the NANPA Web site, www.nanpa.com, for specific contact information.)

Introduction of New NPA

	Planning Timeline
Relief Milestones	Key Dates
Date on which this NPA was declared to be in Jeopardy	Jeopardy declared 07/14/00
Estimated/Actual Permissive Dialing Period Begins (Overlay or Split)	"n" months from date jeopardy declared (Notes 1 & 2)
Estimated/Actual Mandatory Dialing of New NPA Begins (Overlay or Split)	"n" months from date jeopardy declared (Notes 1 & 2)

Notes:

Note 1: Target interval for NPA relief in order to calculate jeopardy allocation quantities

Note 2: Dates will be entered after receipt of relief plan decision from State Regulatory Commission

		Table A
		Key Dates and Requirements
Row	Key Points	Requirement
A.	Implementation of Extraordinary Code Conservation Measures	Code Conservation allocation begins mm/dd/yy
В.	Minimum quantity of codes available for assignment ("base allocation"):	"n" NXXs assigned per month (See Note 1)
C.	Maximum requests that may be submitted per month ("monthly submissions"):	"n" requests allowed per OCN/Entity (See Note 2)
D.	Last day and time code requests will be accepted ("submission deadline"):	Part 1 received no later than 14th business day, 4:00 p.m., Pacific
E.	First day and time code requests will be accepted ("submission start date"):	Part 1 received no earlier than 4th business day, 8:00 a.m., Pacific
F.	Part 1 code requests to be faxed to NANPA CO Code Administrator:	Dora Wirth Fax #: 925-363-8761 Phone: NPA-925-363-8745
G.	Requirements for participating in monthly allocation process:	Each request must meet all "Eligibility Requirements" (See Note 3a & Note 3b)
H.	When and how applicants will be notified of the disposition of their code request(s):	Part 3 issued on or before the end of the 10 th business day (Pacific Time) after the submission deadline (Note 4)
1.	Process that will be used to allocate available codes:	Monthly allocation process is identified on Table B & Table C.
J.	Code effective date for requests receiving an NXX assignment:	Effective date no less than 52 calendar days after NXX assigned (See Note 5)
K.	Method by which initial, growth and "new application" requests will receive a code:	Industry Option: one-pool versus two-pool(See Note 6)

^{*** &}quot;Submission Deadline" is the date on which the industry-standard 66-day processing interval begins

** "Submission Start Date" sets the "submission interval" (period during which requests will be accepted)

Table A, Note 1 - "Base Allocation":

- 1) Any part of a base allocation that is not assigned in an allocation month will carryover for assignment in the following month.
- 2) "Base allocation" is determined by dividing the number of codes available for assignment at implementation of these procedures by the estimated number of months remaining until the target start of mandatory dialing.
 - a) The base allocation quantity may be revised, upward or downward, depending upon the relief plan and schedule approved/ordered by the state Regulatory Commission.
 - b) The industry will reconvene via conference call approximately "x" months after these extraordinary measures have been implemented. The purpose will be to determine whether there is a need to adjust the base allocation figure and, if so, to what quantity.
- 3) In the event that NXXs are recovered during the jeopardy period, the "base allocation" will be recalculated to reflect the larger number of available codes.
 - a) The CO Code Administrator may adjust the monthly allocation as a result of code recovery without seeking industry consensus.
 - b) The CO Code Administrator will post on the NANPA Web site a notice of recalculated monthly allotment and provide a faxed notification. It is the responsibility of each code applicant to check the NANPA Web site, www.nanpa.com, at least monthly for such notice.

Table A, Note 2 - "Monthly Submissions":

- 1) NXX codes will not be reserved.
- 2) Requests are to be specified as 1st, 2nd, up to "nth" choice (where "n" represents the maximum number of requests that may be submitted).
 - a) If an applicant submits multiple requests, but does not specify "choice," the code administrator will assign 1st, 2nd, up to nth choice based on the sequence in which the requests were received on the NANPA Code Administrator's fax machine.
 - b) If an applicant submits more than "n" code requests (the maximum permitted), only the first "n" received will be accepted; any additional requests received that calendar month will be denied.

Table A, Note 3 - "Eligibility Requirements, Basic Data":

A code request must meet all eligibility requirements by the monthly "submission deadline" in order to be eligible to participate in that month's code allocation process.

- 1) The Part 1 code request must be complete and accurate.
 - a) A valid NPA/LATA association must be provided.
 - b) A valid Rate Center/NPA association must be provided.
 - c) On the requested effective date, the specified rate center must physically reside within the boundaries of the specified NPA.
 - d) If the requested rate center will be moving to a new NPA and the requested effective date falls within the NPA split permissive dialing period, then the new NPA must be specified.
- 2) The specified OCN (Operating Company Number) must be validly assigned to the applicant.
 - a) Companies "doing business as" another company must have a "d/b/a/" memo on file with the NANPA CO Code Administrator specifying affected company names and OCNs.
- 3) The code applicant must be certified to provide service in the requested NPA/rate center.
 - a) The type of certification (CPCN #, Docket #, letter of authorization, FCC license #, etc.) and certification date must be specified.
 - b) The type of entity requesting the service (franchised local exchange carrier, competitive local exchange service carrier, cellular company, paging company, PCS, etc.) must be specified.
 - c) The type of service to be provided by the requested code (end office, paging, cellular, PCS, etc.) must be specified.
- 4) The requested effective date may be no more that 6 months after the "submission deadline."
- 5) Requests for a "growth" code must include the Months to Exhaust ("MTE") Worksheet.

Table A, Note 4 - "Notification of Disposition, Suspended and Denied Requests"

- 1) Requests will be suspended if complete and correct Part 1 data (or local industry-specified supporting documentation) is not received by the allocation month's submission deadline.
 - a) The request will be ineligible for participation in the allocation process until all eligibility requirements have been met.
 - b) If a request has been suspended, but required data (or industry-specified supporting documentation) is later received by the submission deadline of a subsequent month, the request will be included in that subsequent month's code allocation process.

- 2) Requests will be denied in the following situations:
 - a) The request is to reserve an NXX code.
 - b) The requested effective date is outside the allowable timeframe.
 - c) Code request(s) submitted by the OCN exceed the "n" per month maximum.
 - d) The applicant is not authorized/certified to provide the specified service in the requested NPA/rate center.

Table A, Note 5 - "Code Effective Date"

- 6) The Part 3 code effective date will reflect no less than 52 calendar days from the date on which the lottery is held (7 calendar days for AOCN processing and 45 calendar days for industry notification). 14 calendar days are for the CO Code processing time, which comprises the 66 days.
- 7) Expedited code effective dates will not be accepted.

Table A, Note 6 - Industry Option: "One-versus two-pool"

The local industry team must decide how initial, growth and "new application" code requests will rationed and allocated. Two possible options are listed below.

- 1) There will be only one "pool" from which NXX code assignments will be made.
 - a) There will be no distinction as to whether an applicant is a current code holder or a new market entrant.
 - b) There will be no distinction as to whether a request is for an initial code, growth code or "new application" (i.e., "specialized use") of a code.

-OR-

- 2) There will be two "pools" from which NXX code assignments will be made; one "pool" will be for initial (new entrant) codes; the other "pool" will be for growth codes.
 - a) A distinction will be made as to whether an applicant is an existing service provider or a new market entrant.
 - b) A distinction will be made as to whether a request is for an initial code, growth code or "new application" (i.e., "specialized use" for billing, technical, etc.) of a code.
 - c) The local NPA industry planning team will determine whether "new application" requests are to be classified as "initial" or "growth" for purposes of making NXX code assignments.

Governing Principle for Code Allocation

In any given month, each OCN submitting an eligible request will receive one code before any OCN receives two codes; each OCN submitting eligible requests for more than one code will each receive two codes before any OCN receives additional codes.

The term "Code Allocation" refers to the means of determining which code requests will receive a CO code assignment in any given month. Lottery is one method of allocation.

Code Allocation Process

		Table B Code Allocation Process
When the total number of Eligible Requests received by the submission deadline is	Then available codes will be allocated each month in the following manner	And the effect upon the subsequent month(s) allocation will be
Equal To the total number of codes available for assignment that month	Each request receives an NXX assignment	No effect; each available code will be assigned
Less Than the total number of codes available for assignment that month	Each request receives an NXX assignment	Remaining quantity of codes will carryover to the following month

Note: See Table C if the total number of eligible requests is Greater Than available codes

			c	Table C ode Allocation Process
	le Requests are n the number codes	The following restrictions will apply	Codes will be allocated in this manner	And the effect on the following month will be
	Equal To codes available for assignment that month	2 nd - and nth-choice code requests will be denied	Each OCN receives one NXX assigned to their 1 st -choice request	No effect; each available code will be assigned
If the total number of <u>OCNs</u> submitting eligible	Less Than codes available for assignment that month	No restrictions	c) Each OCN receives at least one NXX assigned to their 1 st -choice request d) Lottery will be used to determine which OCN(s) receive the remaining code(s)	Any unassigned code quantity will carryover to the following month
Requests is	Greater Than codes available for assignment that month	2 nd - and nth-choice code requests will be denied	c) Only eligible 1st—choice requests will participate in the allocation process d) Lottery will be used to determine which OCN(s) receive a code assignment e) Some OCNs will receive an NXX; others will not	Industry Option; Refer to details in "Priority Numbers Option" below

Priority Numbers Option (Refer to Table C)

The local industry team must decide upon the method by which code requests that do not receive an assignment in the lottery will be handled. Three possible options are listed below.

- OCNs that do not receive a code in a given month's lottery will have to resubmit a new Part 1 code request
 if the OCN still needs an NXX assignment; Priority Numbers will NOT be assigned with this option.
 OR-
- 2) OCNs that do no receive a code in a given month's lottery will not have to resubmit a new Part 1 code request if the OCN still needs an NXX assignment; Priority Numbers will NOT be assigned with this option.
 -OR-
- 3) OCNs that do not receive a code in a given month's lottery will receive a Priority Number ("PN"). Priority Numbers will be drawn by lottery and will determine the order in which these OCN requests receive an NXX in the subsequent month(s).
 - a) Only 1st-choice code requests that meet all eligibility requirements by the monthly submission deadline will receive a priority number.
 - b) The OCN(s) that receive a priority number will NOT have to resubmit their code request unless the OCN wishes to make some change, correction or update to the request; the code administrator will retain the Part 1 until the OCN request receives its NXX assignment.
 - c) OCN requests with Priority Numbers will receive their code assignment before any new requests submitted in that calendar month receive a code. This may mean that these requests receive a Priority Number for code assignment in a future month.
 - d) The total number of Priority Number requests assigned an NXX in any given month will not exceed the total number of codes available for assignment in that month (base allotment plus any carryover). If there are still PN requests "in queue" for an NXX, they will be assigned in each subsequent month until all priority number requests have received a code.

Modifications to These Procedures

These procedures will be modified in the following circumstances:

- 1) If, during Industry relief planning meetings, consensus is reached to add, modify or delete specific dates and intervals identified on Table A.
- OR-
- 2) If the State Commission NPA Relief Order specifies implementation dates that are different from those initially proposed by the industry relief planning team.

EXHIBIT B

Sorted by NPA

Locality		NPA	A pr 0 0	Dec 99			+/-	Notes
New Jersey	R	201	2002 1Q	2001	4Q	(-1)	
Washington D.C.		202	2004 3Q	2004	-	(0)	
Connecticut	R	203	2001 3Q	2001	2Q	(0)	
Canada		204	2015 4Q			(NA)	
A lab a ma		205	2003 2Q	2002	4Q	(-1)	
Washington		206	2003 1Q	2002		(-1)	
Maine		207	2002 3Q	2002	2Q	(0)	Pooling planned for 6/00
Idaho		208	2003 1Q	2004	4Q	(1)	
California	R	209	2004 3Q	2003	2Q	(-1)	
Texas		210	2005 2Q	2004	1Q	(-1)	
New York		212/646	2003 2Q	2002	2Q	(-1)	NPA 212 is capped; pooling planned for 4/01 in NPA 212 and 8/01 for NPA 646
California		213	2004 4Q	2002	3Q	(-2)	0,0 1,01,11, 1,0 10
Texas		214/469/ 972	2002 1Q	2001	4Q	(-1)	
Pennsylvania		215/267	2001 4Q	2003	10	1	2)	NPA 215 is capped
Ohio		216	2004 2Q	2006		ì	2)	11.712.6 15 capped
Illinois		217	2003 2Q	2003		ì	0)	
Minnesota		218	2009 2Q		1Q	ì	4)	1.4X incr. in code growth rate
Indiana	R	219	2003 1Q	2001	4Q	ì	-2)	
Louisiana		225	2009 4Q		1Q	ì	1)	
Mississippi		228	2015 4Q	2035		ì	20)	2.3X incr. in code growth rate
Georgia		229	2019 2Q			ì	NA)	New NPA
Michigan		231	2005 3Q	2003	1Q	ì	-2)	
Maryland		240/301	2002 2Q	2002		ì	0)	NPA 301 is capped
Michigan	R	248	2001 2Q	2001		ì	0)	
Canada		250	2009 4Q		•	ì	NA)	
North Carolina		252	2005 1Q	2007	3Q	ì	2)	
Washington		253	2004 1Q	2004	1Q	ì	0)	
Texas		254	2017 2Q	2017	1Q	ì	0)	
Alabama		256	2003 2Q	2004	3Q	ì	1)	
Wisconsin		262	2002 4Q	2005		ì	3)	
Kentucky		270	2004 2Q		3Q	ì	2)	
Texas		281/713/ 832	2002 3Q	2002		Ì	0)	
Delaware		302	2003 4Q	2004	3Q	(1)	
Colorado		303/720	2003 4Q	2003	3Q	ì	0)	NPA 303 is capped
West Virginia		304	2002 1Q	2004	3Q	į	2)	
Florida	R	305-A	2001 4Q	2001		į	0)	Florida Keys only
Florida		305/786	2004 3Q	2003		ì	-1)	•
Canada		306	2016 1Q			ì	NA)	
Wyoming		307	2012 3Q	2012	30	ì	0)	
Nebraska		308	2032 1Q	2032		ì	0)	
Illinois		309	2010 1Q	2010		ì	0)	
			_0.0.0	_5.0		`	- ,	

R = Relief date based upon rationing amount

NA = Not Applicable

** = Code data used for study as of 4/1/00

Locality		NPA	Apr 00	Dec 99			+/-	Notes
California	R	310	2001 4Q	2000	3Q	(-1)	Pooling implemented 3/00;
Illinois		312	2002 2Q	2002	1Q	(0)	Relief planning suspended Pooling implemented 8/99
Michigan		313	2002 1Q	2001	3Q	ì	-1)	
Missouri	R	314	2001 2Q	2001	3Q	ì	0)	
New York		315	2002 1Q	2001	1Q	· (-1)	Pooling planned for 2/01
Kansas		316	2001 3Q	2002	3Q	į	1)	
Indiana		317	2002 4Q	2002	2Q	(0)	
Louisiana		318	2004 4Q	2004	3Q	(0)	
lowa		319	2001 4Q	2002	3Q	(1)	
Minnesota		320	2023 4Q	2018	4Q	(-5)	Decrease in code growth rate
Florida		321-A	2005 4Q	2005	4Q	(0)	Brevard County only
Florida		321/407	2004 2Q	2004	1Q	(0)	
California	R	323	2003 4Q	2002	3Q	(-1)	
Ohio		330/234	2009 3Q	2001	2Q	(-8)	Introduction of relief NPA
Alabama		334	2002 2Q	2002	3Q	(0)	
North Carolina		336	2002 4Q	2003	1Q	(1)	
Louisiana		337	2006 1Q	2006	2Q	(0)	
US Virgin Islands		340	2148 4Q	NA		(NA)	
New York		347/718	2003 2Q	2002	3Q	(-1)	NPA 718 is capped, pooling planned for 4/01 in NPA 347 and 8/01 for NPA 718
Florida		352	2008 1Q	2008	1Q	(0)	
Washington	R	360	2010 2Q	2000	4Q	(-10)	Introduction of relief NPA
Texas		361	2006 4Q	2006	3Q	(0)	
California		369	2012 4Q			(NA)	New NPA
Rhode Island		401	2002 3Q	2001		(-1)	
Nebraska		402	2001 2Q	2000	4Q	(-1)	
Canada		403	2009 3Q			(NA)	
Georgia		404	2004 2Q	2004	1Q	(0)	
Oklahoma		405	2002 3Q	2002		(0)	
Montana		406	2004 1Q	2004	1Q	(0)	Deliafatensias euspanded
California	R	408	2004 2Q	2003	1Q	(-1)	Relief planning suspended
Texas		409	2005 3Q		1Q	(2)	NDA 440 is senned
Maryland		410/443	2001 2Q	2000		(-1)	NPA 410 is capped
Pennsylvania	R	412	2002 3Q	2002 2002	-	(0)	
Massachusetts		413	2002 1Q			(0)	
Wisconsin	_	414	2006 2Q	2006		(0) -1)	Relief planning suspended;
California	R	415	2002 3Q	2001	4Q	ı	•	pooling planned for 7/00
Canada		416/647	2009 1Q			(NA)	Overlay NPA 647 planned for March 2001; NPA 416 exhausting 3/01
Missouri		417	2005 1Q	2005	1Q	(0)	
Canada		418	2011 4Q			(NA)	
Ohio		419	2002 1Q	2001		(-1)	
Tennessee		423	2004 2Q	2004	1Q	(0)	
Washington		425	2002 2Q	2002	3Q	(0)	

R = Relief date based upon rationing amount
NA = Not Applicable
** = Code data used for study as of 4/1/00

Locality		NPA	Apr 00	Dec 99			+/-	Notes
Utah		435	2012 4Q	2017	1Q	(5)	1.4X increase in code growth
Ohio		440	2004 2Q	2003	30	,	-1)	rate
California		440	2004 2Q 2007 4Q	2003	JQ	(NA)	New NPA
Caniomia		442 450	2020 4Q			(NA)	146M 141 V
Georgia		478	2022 2Q			,	NA)	New NPA
Arizona		480	2005 1Q	2004	40	ì	-1)	1100 111 71
Pennsylvania	R	484/610	2002 3Q	2001		ì	-1)	
Arkansas	1.	501	2002 4Q	2002		` `	0)	
Kentucky		502	2003 1Q	2004	1Q	ì	1)	
Oregon		503A	2002 2Q	2002	2Q	ì	0)	Coastal Counties only
Oregon		503/971	2006 3Q	2007		ì	1)	•
Louisiana	R	504	2002 1Q	2001	3Q	i	-1)	
New Mexico	R	505	2002 4Q	2002		ì	0)	
Canada	, ,	506	2021 2Q			i	NA)	
Minnesota		507	2008 1Q	2008	1Q	į	0)	
Massachusetts	R	508	2000 2Q	2002	1Q	(2)	NPA exhausted
Washington		509	2001 3Q	2002	2Q	(1)	
California	R	510	2003 3Q	2002	4Q	(-1)	Relief planning suspended
Texas	R	512	2003 4Q	2004	1Q	(1)	Pooling planned for 7/00
Ohio		513	2001 3Q	2001	3Q	(0)	
Canada		514	2004 2Q			(NA)	Relief will be req. in 4Q 2002 or 1Q 2003
Iowa		515	2005 2Q	2001	3Q	(-4)	Introduction of relief NPA
New York		516	2001 3Q	2001	1Q	(0)	Pooling planned for 7/00
Michigan	R	517	2001 3Q	2004	3Q	(3)	Relief suspended
New York	R	518	2003 1Q	2002	3Q	(-1)	Pooling planned for 9/00
Canada		519	2006 1Q			(NA)	
Arizona		520	2001 3Q	2001	3Q	(0)	
California	R	530	2004 4Q	2002		(-2)	
Virginia	R	540	2002 3Q	2002		(0)	
Oregon	R	541	2002 4Q	2002		(0)	
California	R	559	2005 1Q	2003	1Q	(-2)	
Florida	R	561	2002 3Q	2002		(0)	
California		562	2001 4Q	2001		(0)	
Pennsylvania		570	2002 1Q	2002	1Q	(0)	
Virginia		571/703	2006 1Q	2005	4Q	(-1)	
Missouri		573	2005 4Q	2004		(-1)	
Oklahoma		580	2006 4Q	2006		(0)	
Mississippi		601	2003 1Q	2004	3Q	(1)	
Arizona	_	602	2004 1Q	2003	2Q	(-1)	Dealing planned for 5/00
New Hampshire	R	603	2001 4Q	2001	4Q	(0)	Pooling planned for 5/00
Canada		604	2004 3Q			(NA)	
South Dakota		605	2005 4Q	2007		(2)	Laurent of a sure P. CAIDA
Kentucky		606	2003 4Q	2000		(-3)	Impact of new relief NPA
New York		607	2005 1Q	2006		(1)	Pooling planned for 6/01
Wisconsin		608	2005 4Q	2009		(4)	1.7X incr. in code growth rate
New Jersey		609	2001 4Q	2002	3Q	(1)	

R = Relief date based upon rationing amount

NA = Not Applicable ** = Code data used for study as of 4/1/00

Locality		NPA	Apr 00	Dec 99			+/-	Notes
Minnesota		612	2004 4Q	2009	1Q	(5)	1.8X incr. in code growth rate
Canada		613	2005 1Q			(NA)	
Ohio		614	2002 3Q	2002	2Q	(0)	
Tennessee		615	2002 2Q	2002	4Q	(0)	
Michigan	R	616	2001 4Q	2001	2Q	(0)	
Massachusetts	R	617	2002 2Q	2001	2Q	(-1)	NPA is exhausted
Illinois		618	2004 3Q	2003	1Q	(-1)	
California		619	2004 4Q	2004	4Q	(0)	
Arizona		623	2010 3Q	2010	2Q	(0)	
California	R	626	2005 1Q	2003	1Q	(-2)	
California		627	2010 2Q			(NA)	New NPA
Illinois		630	2000 4Q	2000	3Q	(0)	Pooling implemented 8/99
New York		631	2002 1Q	2004	2Q	(2)	Pooling planned for 6/01
Missouri		6 36	2008 1Q	2004	3Q	(-4)	Decrease in growth code rate
California	R	650	2003 2Q	2002	3Q	(-1)	Relief planning suspended
Minnesota		651	2008 4Q	2008	4Q	(0)	
Missouri		660	2020 1Q	2019	4Q	(-1)	
California		661	2003 3Q	2002	4Q	(-1)	
Mississippi		662	2004 1Q	2008	1Q	(4)	2X incr. in code growth rate
CNMI		670	2307 2Q	2307	1Q	(0)	
Guam		671	2173 4Q	2173	4Q	(0)	
Georgia	R	678/770	2001 1Q	2000	4Q	(-1)	NPA 770 is capped
North Dakota		701	2005 4Q	2006	4Q	(1)	
Nevada		702	2006 2Q	2004	2Q	(-2)	Decrease in code growth rate
North Carolina		704/980	2008 2Q	2001	3Q	(-7)	Impact of new relief NPA
Canada		705	2020 3Q			(NA)	
Georgia		706	2002 4Q	2003		(1)	
California		707	2008 3Q	2001	3Q	(-7)	Impact of new relief NPA
Illinois		708	2001 2Q	2001	1Q	(0)	Pooling implemented 4/00
Canada		709	2021 3Q			(NA)	
lowa		712	2010 2Q	2010		(0)	
California	R	714	2002 3Q	2002	1Q	(0)	Relief planning suspended; pooling planned for 9/00
Wisconsin		715	2004 3Q	2004	4Q	(0)	7
New York	R	716	2002 2Q	2001	4Q	(-1)	Pooling planned 4/00
Pennsylvania		717	2003 4Q	2001	2Q	(-2)	
Colorado		719	2008 3Q	2008	4Q	(0)	
Pennsylvania		724	2001 4Q	2002	1Q	(1)	
Florida		727	2006 4Q	2009	3Q	(3)	
New Jersey	R	732	2000 4Q	2001	1Q	(1)	
Michigan		734	2001 2Q	2001	2Q	(0)	
Ohio		740	2006 4Q	2004	4Q	(-2)	
Virginia		757	2002 2Q	2002	1Q	(0)	
California		760	2003 4Q	2002	4Q	(-1)	
Minnesota		763	2005 1Q			(NA)	New NPA
Indiana		765	2004 2Q	2002	4Q	(-2)	
Illinois		773	2002 3Q	2002	1Q	(0)	Pooling implemented 10/99
Nevada		775	2006 4Q	2003	1Q	(-3)	

R = Relief date based upon rationing amount

NA = Not Applicable

** = Code data used for study as of 4/1/00

Locality		NPA	Apr 00	Dec 99			+/-	Notes
Canada		780	2012 3Q			(NA)	
Massachusetts	R	781	2001 3Q	2001	3Q	į	0)	
Kansas		785	2006 2Q	2007	2Q	ì	1)	
Puerto Rico	R	787	2001 3Q	2004	3Q	ì	3)	2.7X incr. in code growth rate
Utah	R	801	2001 1Q	2001	1Q	į	0)	C
Vermont		802	2007 1Q	2011	1Q	Ċ	4)	Spike caused by single request for 98 codes
South Carolina		803	2003 2Q	2005	1Q	(2)	
Virginia	R	804	2002 2Q	2001	3Q	(-1)	
California	R	805	2003 4Q	2002	3Q	(-1)	
Texas		806	2013 1Q	2016	1Q	(3)	
Canada		807				(NA)	807 is not projected to exhaust before 2021
Hawaii		808	2006 2Q	2007	2Q	(1)	
Michigan	R	810	2001 2Q	2000	4Q	(-1)	Relief planning suspended
Indiana		812	2005 1Q	2003	3Q	(-2)	
Florida		813	2006 4Q	2006	4Q	(0)	
Pennsylvania		814	2006 2Q	2010	4Q	(4)	1.7X incr. in code growth rate
Illinois		815	2002 2Q	2003	2Q	(1)	•
Missouri		816	2002 1Q	2001	4Q	(-1)	
Texas	R	817	2000 3Q	2000	4Q	(0)	
California	R	818	2003 4Q	2002	3Q	(-1)	
Canada		819	2006 1Q			(NA)	
North Carolina		828	2008 1Q	2011	4Q	(3)	
Texas		830	2007 1Q	2008	3Q	(1)	
California		831	2005 3Q	2005	2Q	(0)	
South Carolina		843	2003 2Q	2003	1Q	(0)	
New York		8 45	2009 2Q			(NA)	New NPA; pooling planned for 4/01
Illinois		847	2000 4Q	2000	3Q	(0)	Pooling implemented 6/98; Forecast for 847 only
Illinois		847/224	2016 2Q	2016		(0)	Pooling implemented 6/98
Florida		850	2004 3Q	2004		(0)	
New Jersey		856	2002 3Q	2002		(0)	
California		858	2004 2Q	2003	4Q	(-1)	
Kentucky		859	2005 4Q			(NA)	New NPA
Connecticut	R	860	2001 2Q	2001	3Q	(0)	
Florida		863	2007 3Q	2006		(-1)	
South Carolina		864	2005 3Q	2005	2Q	(0)	
Tennessee		8 65	2006 2Q	2005	4Q	(-1)	
Canada		867				(NA)	867 is not projected to exhaust in 2021
Arkan sa s		870	2012 1Q	2016		(4)	1.4X inc. in code growth rate
Tennessee	R	901	2001 4Q	2002	1Q	(1)	
Canada		902	2015 3Q			(NA)	
Texas		903	2002 4Q	2002		(0)	
Florida	R	904	2002 1Q	2002	2Q	(0)	
Canada		905	2002 1Q			(NA)	
Michigan		906	2013 4Q	2013	4Q	(0)	

R = Relief date based upon rationing amount

NA = Not Applicable

** = Code data used for study as of 4/1/00

NPA exhaust projections contained herein may change based on demand for numbering resources and will be modified or revised by the NANPA as new data becomes available and are analyzed.

Locality		NPA	Apr 00	Dec 99			+/-	Notes
Alaska		907	2006 3Q	2006	1Q	(0)	
New Jersey		908	2002 4Q	2003	1Q	(1)	
California	R	909	2002 4Q	2002	4Q	(0)	Relief planning suspended; Pooling planned for 12/00
North Carolina		910	2005 1Q	2003	4Q	(-2)	
Georgia		912	2008 3Q	2002	1Q	(-6)	Impact of new relief code
Kansas	•	913	2008 3Q	2006	1Q	(-2)	
New York		914	2001 3Q	2000	1Q	(-1)	Impact of new relief code; Pooling planned for 4/01
Texas		915	2002 4Q	2003	1Q	(1)	
California	R	916	2003 3Q	2002	1Q	(-1)	
New York		917	2001 2Q	2002	1Q	(1)	NPA 917 is capped. Codes are assigned if they become available. Pooling planned for 8/01
Oklahoma		918	2002 3Q	2002	1Q	(0)	
North Carolina		919	2001 4Q	2002	2Q	(1)	
Wisconsin		920	2004 4Q	2004	1Q	(0)	
California	R	925	2004 3Q	2001	4Q	(-3)	
Tennessee		931	2009 2Q	2008	4Q	(-1)	
California		935	2012 2Q			(NA)	New NPA
Texas		936	2005 4Q			(NA)	New NPA
Ohio		937	2003 4Q	2004	4Q	(1)	
Texas		940	2007 3Q	2012	1Q	(5)	1.7X incr. in code growth rate
Florida		941	2003 1Q	2002	4Q	(-1)	
California	R	949	2006 1Q	2002	4Q	(-4)	Decrease in code growth rate
Minnesota		952	2006 2Q			(NA)	New NPA
Florida	R	954	2002 3Q	2002	3Q	(0)	
Texas		956	2007 1Q	2007	1Q	(0)	
Colorado		970	2008 1Q	2007	4Q	(-1)	
New Jersey	R	973	2001 1Q	2001	2Q	(0)	
Massachusetts	R	978	2001 4Q	2001	4Q	(0)	
Texas		979	2005 4Q			(NA)	New NPA

R = Relief date based upon rationing amount

Sorted by State

Locality		NPA	Apr 00	Dec 99			+/-	Notes
Alabama		205	2003 2Q	2002	4Q	(-1)	
Alabama		256	2003 2Q	2004	3Q	(1)	
Alabama		334	2002 2Q	2002	3Q	(0)	
Alaska		907	2006 3Q	2006	1Q	(0)	
Arizona		480	2005 1Q	2004	4Q	(-1)	
Arizona		520	2001 3Q	2001	3Q	(0)	
Arizona		602	2004 1Q			(-1)	
Arizona		623	2010 3Q	2010		(0)	
A rkansas		501	2002 4Q	2002		(0)	
Arkansas		870	2012 1Q	2016		(4)	1.4X inc. in code growth rate
California	R	209	2004 3Q			(-1)	
California		213	2004 4Q	2002		(-2)	D P 1 1 1 10/00
California	R	310	2001 4Q	2000	3Q	(-1)	Pooling implemented 3/00; Relief planning suspended
California	R	323	2003 4Q	2002	ડવ	(-1) NA)	New NPA
California	5	369	2012 4Q	2002	40	(•	
California	R	408	2004 2Q 2002 3Q	2003 2001	1Q 4Q	(-1) -1)	Relief planning suspended Relief planning suspended;
California	R	415		2001	40	(•	pooling planned for 7/00
California		442	2007 4Q	0000	40	(NA)	New NPA
California	R	510	2003 3Q	2002		(-1)	Relief planning suspended
California	R	530	2004 4Q 2005 1Q	2002 2003	4Q 1Q	(-2) -2)	
California	R	559 562	2005 TQ 2001 4Q	2003	3Q	(0)	
California		619	2001 4Q 2004 4Q	2004	4Q	(0)	
California California	R	626	2004 4Q 2005 1Q	2004	1Q	(-2)	
California	11	627	2010 2Q	2000		ì	NA)	New NPA
California	Ŕ	650	2010 2Q 2003 2Q	2002	3Q	ì	-1)	Relief planning suspended
California		661	2003 3Q	2002		ì	-1)	
California		707	2008 3Q	2001	3Q	ì	-7)	Impact of new relief NPA
California	R	714	2002 3Q	2002		Ì	0)	Relief planning suspended; pooling planned for 9/00
California		760	2003 4Q	2002	4Q	(-1)	
California	R	805	2003 4Q	2002	3Q	(-1)	
California	R	818	2003 4Q	2002	3Q	(-1)	
California		831	2005 3Q	2005	2Q	(0)	
California		858	2004 2Q	2003	4Q	(-1)	
California	R	909	2002 4Q	2002	4Q	(0)	Relief planning suspended; Pooling planned for 12/00
California	R	916	2003 3Q	2002		(-1)	
California	R	925	2004 3Q	2001	4Q	(-3)	
California		935	2012 2Q			(NA)	New NPA
California	R	949	2006 1Q	2002	4Q	(-4)	Decrease in code growth rate
Canada		204	2015 4Q			(NA)	
Canada		250	2009 4Q			(NA)	
Canada		306	2016 1Q			(NA)	

R = Relief date based upon rationing amount

Locality		NPA	Apr 00	Dec 99)		+/-	Notes
Canada		403	2009 3	Q		(NA)	
Canada		416/647	2009 1	Q		(NA)	Overlay NPA 647 planned for March 2001; NPA 416 exhausting 3/01
Canada		418	2011 4	Q		(NA)	3
Canada		450	2020 4	Q		(NA)	
Canada		506	2021 20	Q		(NA)	
Canada		514	2004 2	Q		(NA)	Relief will be req. in 4Q 2002 or 1Q 2003
Can ad a		519	2006 1	Q		(NA)	
Canada		604	2004 3			(NA)	
Canada		613	2005 1	Q		(NA)	
Canada		705	2020 3	Q		(NA)	
Canada		709	2021 3	Q		(NA)	
Can ad a		780	2012 3	Q		(NA)	
Canada		807				(NA)	807 is not projected to exhaust before 2021
Can ad a		819	2006 1	Q		(NA)	
Canada		867	5045.0	_		(NA)	867 is not projected to exhaust in 2021
Canada		902	2015 3			(NA)	
Canada		905	2002 10			(NA)	
CNMI		670	2307 2		1Q	(0)	ND4 000 I
Colorado		303/720	2003 4			(0)	NPA 303 is capped
Colorado		719	2008 3		4Q	(0)	
Colorado		970	2008 1		' 4Q	(-1)	
Connecticut	R	203	2001 3		2Q	(0)	
Connecticut	R	860	2001 2		3Q	(0)	
Delaware		302	2003 4			(1)	
Florida	R	305-A	2001 4		3Q	(0)	Florida Keys only
Florida		305/786	2004 3		3 2Q	(-1)	
Florida		321-A	2005 4		5 4Q	(0)	Brevard County only
Florida		321/407	2004 2		1 1Q	(0)	
Florida		352	2008 1			(0)	
Flor ida	R	561	2002 3		2 4Q	(0)	
Florida		727	2006 4		3Q	(3)	
Florida		813	2006 4		4Q	(0)	
Florida		850	2004 3		2Q	(0)	
Florida	_	863	2007 3		3 Q	(-1)	
Florida	R	904	2002 1		2 20	(0)	
Florida	_	941 -	2003 1		2 4Q	(-1)	
Florida	R	954	2002 3		2 3Q	(0)	New NPA
Georgia		229	2019 2			(NA)	New NEA
Georgia		404	2004 2		1 1Q	(0)	N. AIDA
Georgia		478	2022 2			(NA)	New NPA
Georgia	Ŕ	678/770	2001 1		4Q	(-1)	NPA 770 is capped
Georgia		706	2002 4		3 1Q	(1)	
Georgia		912	2008 3		2 1Q	(-6)	Impact of new relief code
Guam		671	2173 4	Q 217;	3 4Q	(0)	

R = Relief date based upon rationing amount

NA = Not Applicable ** = Code data used for study as of 4/1/00

Locality		NPA	Apr 00	Dec 99			+/-	Notes
Hawaii		808	2006 2Q	2007	2Q	(1)	
Idaho		208	2003 1Q	2004	4Q	(1)	
Illinois		217	2003 2Q	2003	2Q	(0)	
Illinois		309	2010 1Q	2010	1Q	(0)	
Illinois		312	2002 2Q	2002	1Q	(0)	Pooling implemented 8/99
Illinois		618	2004 3Q	2003	1Q	(-1)	
Illinois		630	2000 4Q	2000	3Q	(0)	Pooling implemented 8/99
Illinois		708	2001 2Q	2001	1Q	(0)	Pooling implemented 4/00
Illinois		773	2002 3Q	2002	1Q	(0)	Pooling implemented 10/99
Illinois		815	2002 2Q	2003	2Q	(1)	
Illinois		847	2000 4Q	2000	3Q	(0)	Pooling implemented 6/98; Forecast for 847 only
Illinois		847/224	2016 2Q	2016	1Q	(0)	Pooling implemented 6/98
Indiana	R	219	2003 1Q	2001	4Q	(-2)	
Indiana		317	2002 4Q	2002	2Q	(0)	
Indiana		765	2004 2Q	2002	4Q	(-2)	
Indiana		812	2005 1Q	2003	3Q	(-2)	
Iowa		319	2001 4Q	2002	3Q	(1)	
Iowa		515	2005 2Q	2001	3Q	(-4)	Introduction of relief NPA
Iowa		712	2010 2Q	2010	2Q	(0)	
Kansas		316	2001 3Q	2002	3Q	(1)	
Kansas		785	2006 2Q	2007	2Q	(1)	
Kansas		913	2008 3Q	2006	1Q	(-2)	
Kentucky		270	2004 2Q	2006	3Q	(2)	
Kentucky		502	2003 1Q	2004	1Q	(1)	
Kentucky		606	2003 4Q	2000	4Q	(-3)	Impact of new relief NPA
Kentucky		859	2005 4Q			(NA)	New NPA
Louisiana		225	2009 4Q	2010	1Q	(1)	
Louisi a na		318	2004 4Q	2004	3Q	(0)	
Louisiana		337	2006 1Q	2006	2Q	(0)	
Louisiana	R	504	2002 1Q	2001	3Q	(-1)	
Maine		207	2002 3Q	2002	2Q	(0)	Pooling planned for 6/00
Maryland		240/301	2002 2Q	2002	1Q	(0)	NPA 301 is capped
Maryland		410/443	2001 2Q	2000	4Q	(-1)	NPA 410 is capped
Massachusetts		413	2002 1Q	2002	3Q	(0)	
Massachusetts	R	508	2000 2Q	2002	1Q	(2)	NPA exhausted
Massachusetts	R	617	2002 2Q	2001	2Q	(-1)	NPA is exhausted
Massachusetts	R	781	2001 3Q	2001	3Q	(0)	
Massachusetts	R	978	2001 4Q	2001	4Q	(0)	
Michigan		231	2005 3Q	2003	1Q	(-2)	
Michigan	R	248	2001 2Q			(0)	
Michigan		313	2002 1Q	2001	3Q	(-1)	
Michigan	R	517	2001 3Q	2004	3Q	(3)	Relief suspended
Michigan	R	616	2001 4Q			(0)	
Michigan		734	2001 20	2001	2Q	(0)	
Michigan	R	810	2001 2Q	2000	4Q	(-1)	Relief planning suspended
Michigan		906	2013 4Q	2013	4Q	(0)	
Minnesota		218	2009 2Q	2013	1Q	(4)	1.4X incr. in code growth rate

R = Relief date based upon rationing amount

NA = Not Applicable ** = Code data used for study as of 4/1/00